

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,311		12/29/2003	Charles E. Narad	42P8220C11	7976
8791	7590	03/24/2005	EXAMINER		
		LOFF TAYLOR	HARRELL, ROBERT B		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGI	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2142	<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>></i>		A					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/748,311	NARAD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert B. Harrell	2142					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of t	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 20 December 29 December 20 December	ecember 2003.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-64 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20031229. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: see attached	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/748,311

Art Unit: 2142

Page 2

- 1. Claims 2-23 and 1-64 are presented for examination.
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. All United States Patent Applications mentioned in this application's textual portions of the specification must be updated with their current status (i.e., pending, abandoned, now United States Patent <number here>).
- 4. The applicant should use this period for response to thoroughly and very closely proof read and review the whole of the application for correct correlation between reference numerals in the textual portion of the Specification and Drawings along with any minor spelling errors, general typographical errors, accuracy, assurance of proper use for Trademarks TM, and other legal symbols ®, where required, and clarity of meaning in the Specification, Drawings, and specifically the claims. Minor typographical errors could render a Patent unenforceable and so the applicant is strongly encouraged to aid in this endeavor.

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 6. Claims 2-23, in the set of claims 2-23, and 1-64, in the set of claims 1-64, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the applicant has two sets of claims to different inventions. Specifically, 35 U.S.C. 101 recites "a patent" for "an invention" each in the singular. For this rejection, and those to follow, the applicant is invited to view http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair and enter the Application Number of this application and then click submit. On the new page, click the "Image File Wrapper" tab. As indicated on this new page, there are two sets of claims dated December 29, 2003, one set of claims in 12 pages and another set of claims in 6 pages. One set (claims 2-23) is from a preliminary amendment canceling only original claim 1 while the other set (claims 1-64) were the originally filed claims on pages 207-217. Thus which set of claims are currently presented for examination in uncertain. Examiner treats both in the spirit of compact prosecution. Thus the applicant has failed to claim an invention. A restriction in this application would not be proper because there is unity of invention within each set, the claims cannot be properly grouped because of redundant claim numbering (i.e., there are two claims 2-23); and, most importantly, there appears to be a significant error in this application requiring the applicant more time then the standard one month period to respond.
- 7. Also, a rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same

Application/Control Number: 10/748,311

Art Unit: 2142

invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

- 8. A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.
- 9. Claims 1-64, in the set of claims 1-64, of this application are rejected based on double patenting of the "same invention" of claims 1-64 of United States Patent Application 10/748,997 which also has a set of 64 claims identical to those of claims 1-64 of this application. That is, the claims which are being rejected in this application are claims 1-64 staring with claim 1 having "A method of checking cumulative status of a plurality of arithmetic operations" to claim 64 being that which is dependent on claim 63 and ends in the word "tasks" against the like set of claims 1-64 in 10/748,997.
- 10. Claims 1-64 (the set with checking cumulative status) of this application are rejected based on double patenting of the "same invention" of claims 1-64 of United States Patent 6,701,338.
- 11. Claims 2-23, in the set of claims 2-23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, there has not been described either the complier (specifically as recited in claims 5, 8, 10, 16, 19, and/or 21) and/or the corresponding method of claims 13-23, in the set of claims 2-23, as currently claimed.
- 12. Claims 2-23, in the set of claims 2-23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention as currently claimed. Specifically, there has not been enabled either the complier (specifically as recited in claims 5, 8, 10, 16, 19, and/or 21) and/or the corresponding method of claims 13-23, in the set of claims 2-23, as currently claimed.
- 13. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

14. Claims 2-23, in the set of claims 2-23, and claims 1-64, in the set of claims 1-64, are all rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as *the* invention for the same reasons provided above with respect to 35 U.S.C. 101. The applicant should also keep

Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

in mind the claims must have clear antecedent bases. Any other term(s) or phrase(s) over looked by examiner and not listed which start with either "the" or "said" and do not have a single proper antecedent bases also is indefinite for the reasons outlined in this paragraph. Also, where term(s) or phrase(s) are introduced more than once without adequate use of either "the" or "said" for the subsequent use of the term(s) or phrase(s) results in failing to clearly claim the invention. Moreover, multiple introduction of a term, or changes in tense, results in a lack of clear antecedent bases for term(s) or phrase(s) which relied upon the introduced term. Failure to correct all existing cases where clear antecedent bases are lacking can be viewed as non-responsive.

- 15. All claims, those claims in the set of claims 2-23 and those claims in the set of claims 1-64, are allowable over the art of record since the art of record failed to teach or remotely suggest the claimed subject matter. The applicant is strongly advised to review the whole of this application for total compliance to 35 U.S.C. and 37 C.F.R. by proofing this application in the whole including, but not limited to, check for antecedent bases "the" "said" within the claims. It is suggested that the applicant start anew by canceling all claims and submitting new claims starting with a numbering from 65-et seq. and to check those for antecedent based issues as well.
- 16. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the data of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Harrell whose telephone number is (571) 272-3895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and on weekends from 6:00 am to 12 noon Eastern Standard Time.
- 18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack B. Harvey, can be reached on (571) 272-3896. The fax phone number for all papers is (703) 872-9306.
- 19. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2142